

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/939,061	09/939,061 08/24/2001		Lawrence Howell Sawyer	KCC-16,208	1730-		
35844	7590	11/24/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		EN KINNE & ERIO	ANDERSON, C	ANDERSON, CATHARINE L			
2800 WEST SUITE 365	HIGGIN	SKOAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
HOFFMAN	ESTATE	S, IL 60195	3761	10			
				DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	$\iota$		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/939,061	SAWYER ET AL.	CY					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	C. Lynne Anderson	3761						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence addi	ess					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this com	munication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	<u>1ay 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under			merits is					
Disposition of Claims	P 4°							
4) Claim(s) 1-39 and 57-63 is/are pending in the								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vii irom consideration.	•						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39 and 57-63</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers	4							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)	the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		A malia atian bia						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents								
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		.age					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).					
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>	* *							
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-						
S. Patent and Trademark Office			·					

Art Unit: 3761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The amendment filed 20 May 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The edge compression of between about 2726 and about 3615 gm-cm is not supported by the original disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 59 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The procedure for determining the edge compression of the absorbent pad is not described in the specification. One skilled in the art would therefore not be able to make the invention as claimed. Applicant states support for the limitation disclosing the edge compression is found on page 27, line 21+, and page 37, table 4. The paragraph beginning on page 27, line 21 is directed to U.S. Patent 6,214,274, which discloses an edge compression having units of g/gsm, rather than gm-cm as claimed. Table 4 discloses the Energy to 50% Compression in gm-cm, but fails to disclose a method for calculating the Energy to 50% Compression and does

Art Unit: 3761

not disclose an edge compression. An edge compression of between about 2726 and 3615 gm-cm is therefore not described in the specification, since the claimed values are described in the specification as being the Energy to 50% Compression.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11, 15-30, 34-36, 58, 60, 61, and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Laux et al. (5,827,259).

Laux discloses an absorbent pad 48 comprising a single layer, as shown in figure 2. The absorbent pad 48 comprises between 30% and 85% superabsorbent material homogeneously mixed with between 15% and 70% pulp fluff, as disclosed in column 19, lines 50-65. The absorbent pad 48 has a density greater than about 0.28 g/cc, as disclosed in column 20, lines 1 and 15-17, and a thickness of less than 5 mm, as disclosed in column 20, lines 9-13. The absorbent pad 48 has an absorbent capacity of between about 14 and 40 g/g, as disclosed in column 19, lines 27-31.

With respect to claims 2 and 3, the absorbent pad 48 has a density greater than about 0.32 g/cc, as disclosed in column 20, lines 1 and 15-17.

With respect to claims 4 and 5, the absorbent pad comprises between 40% and 80%, and between 50% and 75% superabsorbent material, as disclosed in column 19, lines 50-65.

With respect to claim 6, the absorbent pad 48 further comprises a plurality of man-made fibers, as disclosed in column 18, lines 26-28.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claim 7, the absorbent pad 48 further comprises carrier particles, as disclosed in column 18, lines 26-28.

With respect to claims 8 and 9, the absorbent pad 48 is less than 5 mm thick, which includes the ranges of between 0.6 and 2.5 mm, and 0.7 and 2.0 mm.

With respect to claims 10 and 11, the absorbent pad 48 has an absorbent capacity of at least 16 g/g, as disclosed in column 19, lines 27-31.

With respect to claims 15-20, the absorbent pad 48 is present in an absorbent article, diaper, training pant, feminine hygiene product, incontinence product, and is capable of being used as a swim garment, as disclosed in column 2, lines 48-60.

With respect to claim 21, the absorbent material forms a gradient within the absorbent pad 48, as disclosed in column 18, lines 5-7.

With respect to claims 22 and 23, the absorbent pad comprises between 40% and 80%, and between 50% and 75% superabsorbent material, as disclosed in column 19, lines 50-65.

With respect to claim 24, the absorbent pad 48 further comprises a plurality of man-made fibers, as disclosed in column 18, lines 26-28.

With respect to claim 25, the absorbent pad 48 further comprises carrier particles, as disclosed in column 18, lines 26-28.

With respect to claims 26 and 27, the absorbent pad 48 is less than 5 mm thick, which includes the ranges of between 0.6 and 2.5 mm, and 0.7 and 2.0 mm.

With respect to claims 28-30 the absorbent pad 48 has an absorbent capacity of between 14 and 40 g/g, and at least 16 g/g, as disclosed in column 19, lines 27-31.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claim 34, the absorbent pad 48 includes more superabsorbent material at a first end than at a second end, as disclosed in column 20, lines 42-44.

With respect to claim 35, the absorbent pad 48 includes more superabsorbent material along a top surface, as disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,699,823 and incorporated by reference in column 18, lines 13-16.

With respect to claim 36, the absorbent pad 48 includes more superabsorbent material along a bottom surface, as disclosed in column 18, lines 8-13.

With respect to claim 58, the absorbent pad 48 is encompassed by a wrap sheet 70.

With respect to claim 60, the absorbent pad 48 is formed in a specific shape, as shown in figure 1.

With respect to claim 61, the absorbent pad 48 is encompassed by a wrap sheet 70.

With respect to claim 63, the absorbent pad 48 is formed in a specific shape, as shown in figure 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3761

Claims 12-14 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laux et al. (5,827,259) as applied to claims 1 and 21 above, and further in view of Coles (5,722,967).

Laux discloses all aspects of the claimed invention but remains silent as to the gel strength of the superabsorbent material.

Coles discloses a superabsorbent material for use in an absorbent pad having a gel strength of at least 0.85, as described in column 7, lines 6-9. Superabsorbent materials having a high gel strength are well-known to be highly stable. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention for the superabsorbent material of Laux to have a gel strength of at least 0.85, as taught by Coles.

Claims 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laux et al. (5,827,259) as applied to claim 21 above.

Laux discloses all aspects of the claimed invention but remains silent as to the variation of concentration of the superabsorbent material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to vary the concentration of superabsorbent by about 0.15 to about 0.25 g/cc, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laux et al. (5,827,259) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Pieniak et al. (5,451,442).

Art Unit: 3761

Ļ

Laux discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the absorbent pad having a higher basis weight in a first zone than in a second zone.

Pieniak discloses an absorbent pad 14, as shown in figure 4, comprising compressions 40. The absorbent pad 14 has a reduced thickness and basis weight at compressions 40, as disclosed in column 7, lines 38-39. The compressions 40 act as folding lines to provide the article with an improved and more comfortable fit, as disclosed in column 10, lines 57-65.

It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to construct the absorbent pad of Laux with the compressions of Pieniak to provide the article with an improved and more comfortable fit.

#### Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 20 May 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The edge compression of between about 2726 and about 3615 gm-cm is not supported by the original disclosure, as described in the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, above.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3761

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (703) 308-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3590.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Art Unit: 3761

CVA

November 17, 2003

WEILUN LO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 9